

Numerical and graphical invariants of permutation groups

Peter J. Cameron
University of St Andrews
Asymptotic Group Theory
Budapest, August 2015



Happy birthday!

We are here to celebrate an important equation:

$$\text{Age}(\mathbb{P}^3) = |A_5|$$

It is a great pleasure to celebrate the birthday of my friend Péter Pál Pálffy in Budapest, in a meeting on asymptotic group theory.

- ▶ On my first visit to Budapest, I lectured about infinite permutation groups.
- ▶ In a restaurant just round the corner, Laci Babai and I planned a conference on “Group Theory: Finite to Infinite”.
- ▶ My paper with Laci and P³ was about finite groups, but has been of some importance in the study of locally finite groups.

However, today I will stick to finite groups.

The most recent material is joint work with Colva Roney-Dougal; I am grateful to her for comments on the whole thing [but remaining mistakes are mine!].

Overview

I will begin with a brief survey of three graphs associated with finite groups: the commuting graph, the power graph, and the generating graph.

The third of these has the drawback that it is non-trivial only for 2-generated groups (though of course this class includes the finite simple groups). One of the big open questions suggests a more general approach.

I will introduce a chain of equivalence relations on a finite group. The point at which this chain stabilises is related to various other group parameters such as the maximal generator number of a maximal subgroup and the maximum size of a minimal generating set of G .

I will then discuss several further parameters related to these, defined in terms of the subgroup lattice or permutation bases.

The commuting graph

The vertices of the **commuting graph** of a group G are the elements of G , two vertices joined if they commute.

Since any two vertices are joined by a path of length 2 through a central element, it is customary to remove the centre of G .

The commuting graph was defined by Gruenberg and Kegel in an unpublished manuscript in 1975, along with the related **prime graph** (whose vertices are the prime divisors of $|G|$, two primes p and q joined if G contains an element of order pq .) It is relevant to the study of the module structure of the augmentation ideal of kG .

Morgan and Parker showed that, if the centre of G is trivial, then any connected component of the commuting graph of G has diameter at most 10.

However, Giudici and Parker found examples of groups (of 2-power order) whose commuting graph has arbitrarily large diameter.

The power graph

The **power graph** $P(G)$ of a group G has as vertices the elements of G , with an edge from x to y if one is a power of the other. There is a natural directed version $\vec{P}(G)$, where we put a directed arc from x to y if x is a power of y .

Neither graph determines G . For example, if G has exponent 3, then $P(G)$ is the **friendship graph** consisting of a number of triangles with a common vertex.

However, one surprising fact is that, if G and H are groups such that $P(G)$ and $P(H)$ are isomorphic, then $\vec{P}(G)$ and $\vec{P}(H)$ are isomorphic (though not every isomorphism between undirected power graphs is an isomorphism between directed power graphs).

If y is a power of x , then x and y commute; so (apart from the question of whether the centre is included) the power graph is a spanning subgraph of the commuting graph.

Generation

I now turn to graphs and parameters related to generating sets for G .

Bear in mind the following example. Let G be a finite p -group. By the Burnside basis theorem, a set of elements generates G if and only if the images generate $G/\Phi(G)$ (where $\Phi(G)$ is the **Frattini subgroup** of G).

Now $G/\Phi(G)$ is elementary abelian, and so a vector space over a prime field. So generating sets correspond to bases in the vector space: any two have the same cardinality, and any independent set is contained in a generating set.

Of course, things are not so simple in arbitrary groups!

The generating graph

The **generating graph** $\Gamma(G)$ of G is the graph whose vertices are the elements of G , with two vertices x and y adjacent if $\langle x, y \rangle = G$.

Note that this graph is a null graph if G is not 2-generated.

Also, the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ consists of the elements of G which can be dropped from any generating set. So, if G is not cyclic, then the vertices in the Frattini subgroup are isolated, and we may delete them.

If G is 2-generated and not abelian, then pairs of elements which generate G do not commute, so the generating graph is a spanning subgraph of the **complement** of the commuting graph.

The spread conjecture

Breuer, Guralnick and Kantor showed that, in a finite simple group, every non-identity element belongs to a 2-element generating set; in other words, after removing the identity, the generating graph has no isolated vertices. They conjectured that a group has this property if and only if all its nontrivial quotients are cyclic.

This was proved for S_n (for $n > 4$) by Sophie Piccard in 1939. More generally, the **spread** of a graph is the largest number s such that any s vertices have a common neighbour. The **spread** of a group is the spread of its generating graph. Thus G has spread $\neq 0$ if and only if 1 is the only isolated vertex in $\Gamma(G)$. There has been a lot of research by Burness, Crestani, Guest and others on the following conjecture:

Conjecture

There is no finite almost simple group which has spread precisely 1; that is, such a group with non-zero spread has spread at least 2.

Reduction, 1

My work with Colva Roney-Dougal reported here began with the observation that the generating graph of a finite simple group has huge automorphism group. For example, the generating graph of A_5 has automorphism group of order $2^{31} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5 = 23482733690880$.

There is a simple reason for this. If two elements of order 3 or 5 generate the same cyclic subgroup, then they have the same neighbours in $\Gamma(G)$, and can be permuted arbitrarily. So, if we define a relation \equiv_g on G by the rule that $x \equiv_g y$ if x and y have the same neighbours, then $\Gamma(A_5)$ has 6 equivalence classes of size 4, 10 of size 2, and 16 singletons. The normal subgroup fixing all equivalence classes has order $(4!)^6 \cdot (2!)^{10}$, and the quotient is isomorphic to S_5 .

Reduction, 2

There is a natural way to define an induced subgraph on the set of equivalence classes of \equiv_g : two classes are joined if some (equivalently, any) pair of vertices one in each class are joined. The letter g stands for “graph” or “generating”. This quotient operation preserves many graph-theoretic properties, for example,

- ▶ clique number;
- ▶ chromatic number;
- ▶ total domination number;
- ▶ spread.

Also, the automorphism of the quotient graph $\Gamma(G)/\equiv_g$ is much more closely related to the group G . For example, for $G = A_5$, this group is $\text{Aut}(A_5) = S_5$.

Automorphism groups

Let $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ denote the reduced graph $\Gamma(G) / \equiv_g$. We regard this as a **vertex-weighted graph**, where the weight of a vertex is the size of the corresponding equivalence class. Let $\text{Aut}_w(\bar{\Gamma}(G))$ denote the group of automorphisms of this graph preserving the weights.

The automorphism group of G acts on $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$; let $\text{Aut}^*(G)$ be the induced group on this graph.

Theorem

$$\text{Aut}^*(G) \leq \text{Aut}_w(\bar{\Gamma}(G)) \leq \text{Aut}(\bar{\Gamma}(G)).$$

The right-hand inequality can be strict. If $G = \text{PSL}(2, 16)$ then there is an automorphism of $\bar{\Gamma}(G)$ which interchanges classes corresponding to elements of orders 3 and 5: the full automorphism group is $C_2 \times \text{PSL}(2, 16)$. However, these vertices have different weights.

Reduction, 3

Here is another equivalence relation defined on a group G which does not depend on G being 2-generated. We write $x \equiv_m y$ if, for any finite set Z of elements of G ,

$$\langle x, Z \rangle = G \Leftrightarrow \langle y, Z \rangle = G.$$

It is not hard to show that $x \equiv_m y$ if x and y lie in the same maximal subgroups of G . (So m in the notation could stand for “maximal subgroups”.)

Clearly $x \equiv_m y$ implies $x \equiv_g y$, since $x \equiv_g y$ means that the condition holds for all singleton sets Z .

Groups of non-zero spread

Lucchini and Maróti have shown that groups of non-zero spread fall into one of the following types:

- ▶ cyclic groups;
- ▶ $C_p \times C_p$, for p prime;
- ▶ G is the semi-direct product of an elementary abelian group with an irreducible subgroup of its Singer cycle;
- ▶ G has a normal subgroup T^r , where T is non-abelian simple; the quotient has order rm , where m divides $|\text{Out}(T)|$, and permutes the factors cyclically.

Theorem

Let G be a soluble group of non-zero spread. Then

- ▶ \equiv_m and \equiv_g coincide on G ;
- ▶ *all cases in which $\text{Aut}^*(G) = \text{Aut}_w(\bar{\Gamma}(G))$ are known.*

A chain of equivalence relations

The equivalence \equiv_g can be generalised as follows.

Let r be a positive integer. We define an equivalence relation

$\equiv_m^{(r)}$ on G by the rule that $x \equiv_m^{(r)} y$ if

$$(\forall z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \in G) ((\langle x, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle = G) \Leftrightarrow (\langle y, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle = G)).$$

Here m stands for “maximal subgroups”, as we will see later.

Note that $\equiv_m^{(2)}$ coincides with \equiv_g defined earlier.

The relations get finer as r increases: so we define \equiv_m to be the limiting value for large r , and $\psi(G)$ to be the smallest value of r for which $\equiv_m^{(r)}$ coincides with \equiv_m .

An example

Example

Let G be the symmetric group S_4 . Then

- ▶ $\equiv_m^{(1)}$ is the universal relation with a single class, as G is not 1-generated.
- ▶ G is 2-generated, but double transpositions lie in no 2-element generating set, so they are all equivalent to the identity in \equiv_2 , which has 14 classes.
- ▶ For $r \geq 3$, the double transpositions are all equivalent; two other non-identity elements are $\equiv_m^{(r)}$ -equivalent if and only if each is a power of the other; there are 15 classes. So $\psi(S_4) = 3$.

A conjecture and a problem

Conjecture

There are numbers a and b such that $\equiv_m^{(r)}$ is

- ▶ constant (with a single class) for $r \leq a$;
- ▶ strictly decreasing in the refinement order for $a \leq r \leq b$;
- ▶ constant for $r \geq b$.

If true, then we would have $a = d(G)$ and $b = \psi(G)$.

We further **conjecture** that, if G is simple (or almost simple and 2-generated) then $a = b = 2$. This has been checked up to order around 10^4 .

An **enumeration problem**: how many \equiv_m classes in the symmetric group S_n ? The series begins

$$1, 2, 5, 15, 67, 362, 1479, \dots$$

An asymptotic result

Theorem

Let G be S_n or A_n . Then for almost all elements $x, y \in G$ (all but a proportion tending to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$), the following are equivalent:

- ▶ $x \equiv_m y$;
- ▶ $x \equiv_g y$;
- ▶ the cycles of x and y induce the same partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The proof depends on the theorem of Łuczak and Pyber, which states that for almost all $x \in S_n$, the only transitive subgroups of S_n containing x are S_n and (possibly) A_n . The equivalence holds for all such elements.

Some group parameters

Recall that $d(G)$ denotes the minimum number of elements which generate G .

Let $m(G)$ be the maximum of $d(M)$ over all maximal subgroups M of G . This parameter has been studied by Burness, Liebeck and Shalev, who showed, among other things, that $m(G) \leq 4$ for any finite simple group G .

Also, let $\mu(G)$ be the maximum size of an **independent generating set** for G (a generating set of which no proper subset is generating). This parameter arose in work of Diaconis and Saloff-Coste on the rate of convergence of the product-replacement algorithm, and was studied by Whiston (who showed that $\mu(S_n) = n - 1$) and others.

Bounds for $\psi(G)$

The equivalence relation $\equiv_m^{(r)}$ on G is the universal relation (any two elements are related) if $r < d(G)$, since no r -tuples generate G . This is false for $r = d(G)$; indeed, for this value, there are at least $d(G) + 1$ equivalence classes (since, if $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle$, then the identity, x_1, \dots, x_r are pairwise inequivalent). Thus $\psi(G) \geq d(G)$. The next result gives some upper bounds.

Theorem

$$d(G) \leq \psi(G) \leq \min\{\mu(G), m(G) + 1\}.$$

Theorem

Let G be a group of prime power order. Then

$$d(G) = \psi(G) = \mu(G),$$

and the \equiv_m -classes are the cosets of the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$.

Proof that $\psi(G) \leq \mu(G)$

We have to show that, if $\mu = \mu(G)$, and $x \equiv_m^{(\mu)} y$, then $x \equiv_m y$.

So suppose that we have $x \equiv_m^{(\mu)} y$, and let $G = \langle x, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle$.

Suppose that $r \leq \mu$. Since the relations $\equiv_m^{(r)}$ get finer as r increases, we have $G = \langle y, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle$.

So suppose that $r > \mu$. In this case, our generating set is larger than μ , and so some element is redundant.

If x is redundant, then $G = \langle z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle = \langle y, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle$, as required.

Suppose that x is not redundant. Then G is generated by a subset of the given generators of size μ including x , without loss of generality $\{x, z_1, \dots, z_{\mu-1}\}$. Since, by assumption, $x \equiv_m^{(\mu)} y$, we have $G = \langle y, z_1, \dots, z_{\mu-1} \rangle = \langle y, z_1, \dots, z_{r-1} \rangle$.

Combinatorics of generating sets

If you know about matroids, you will recognise that, in a p -group, the minimal generating sets are the bases of a **matroid**, which is just a projective space over $\text{GF}(p)$ “blown up” with loops and parallel elements.

Is there any analogue for arbitrary groups?

One possible setting is provided by the recent work of Rhodes and Silva on **Boolean representations of simplicial complexes**. The Boolean representable complexes are more general than matroids but not as general as arbitrary simplicial complexes. Details have not yet been worked out.

A note on group parameters

A (finite) group parameter is simply a real-valued function on the class of finite groups, which is isomorphism-invariant.

If p denotes any group parameter, then we define the parameter p' as follows:

$$p'(G) = \max_{H \leq G} p(H).$$

So, for example, if $d(G)$ is the minimum number of generators of G , then $d'(G)$ is the smallest number for which every subgroup of G can be generated by d' elements.

Note that p' is **monotonic** ($H \leq G$ implies $p'(H) \leq p'(G)$). In particular, if p is monotonic, then $p = p'$.

Some further parameters

In the remainder of the talk I will consider the parameters $d(G)$ (minimum number of generators) and μ (maximum size of an independent generating set), together with their “derived” parameters d' and μ' , and also the parameter $l(G)$, the length of the longest chain of subgroups of G . (The last parameter is monotonic, so $l'(G) = l(G)$.)

All these parameters are known for symmetric groups:

Theorem

- ▶ $l(S_n) = \left\lceil \frac{3n}{2} \right\rceil - b(n) - 1$, where $b(n)$ is the number of ones in the base 2 representation of n .
- ▶ $\mu(S_n) = \mu'(S_n) = n - 1$.
- ▶ $d(S_n) = 2, d'(S_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ for $n > 3$.

The non-trivial parts are due to Cameron, Solomon and Turull; Whiston; and McIver and Neumann.

Base measures

A **base** for a permutation group G on a set Ω is a sequence of points of Ω whose pointwise stabiliser in G is trivial. It is **irredundant** if no point is fixed by the stabiliser of its predecessors; and **minimal** if no point is fixed by the stabiliser of all the other points.

Now let $b_1(G)$, $b_2(G)$, $b_3(G)$ be the maximum, over all permutation actions of G (not necessarily transitive or faithful!), of

- ▶ the maximum size of an irredundant base (for $b_1(G)$);
- ▶ the maximum size of a minimal base (for $b_2(G)$);
- ▶ the minimum base size (for $b_3(G)$).

Some results

Theorem

- ▶ $b_3(G) \leq b_2(G) \leq b_1(G)$.
- ▶ $b_1(G) = l(G)$.
- ▶ *If G is a non-abelian simple group, then to calculate $b_3(G)$ it is only necessary to consider primitive actions of G .*

An example of a group in which the inequalities in the first part are all strict is $G = \text{PSL}(2, 7)$; we have $b_1(G) = 5$, $b_2(G) = 4$, $b_3(G) = 3$.

Boolean semilattices

An **join-semilattice** of a lattice Λ is a subset of Λ which is closed under join and contains the bottom element (the join of the empty set), while a **meet-semilattice** is a subset closed under meet and containing the top element (the meet of the empty set).

Let $B(n)$ denote the **Boolean lattice** of all subgroups of an n -set. For any group G , let $\Lambda(G)$ denote the lattice of subsets of G .

Theorem

The following are equivalent for the group G :

- ▶ $B(n)$ is embeddable as a join-semilattice in $\Lambda(G)$;
- ▶ $B(n)$ is embeddable as a meet-semilattice in $\Lambda(G)$.

The quaternion group Q_8 shows that these conditions are not equivalent to embeddability of $B(n)$ as a lattice in $\Lambda(G)$.

A connection

Theorem

- ▶ *The largest n such that $B(n)$ is embeddable as a join-semilattice of $\Lambda(G)$ is $\mu'(G)$.*
- ▶ *The largest n such that $B(n)$ is embeddable as a meet-semilattice of $\Lambda(G)$ with the minimal element a normal subgroup of G is $b_2(G)$.*

As a corollary, we see that $b_2(G) \leq \mu'(G)$ for any group G .

Conjecture

The condition on the minimal element can be deleted in the above theorem.

If so, then we would have $b_2(G) = \mu'(G)$.

References

- ▶ Sophie Piccard, Sur les bases du group symétrique et du groupe alternant, *Math. Ann.* **116** (1939), 752–767.
- ▶ G. L. Morgan and C. W. Parker, The diameter of the commuting graph of a finite group with trivial centre, *J. Algebra* **393** (2013), 41–59; arXiv 1301.2341.
- ▶ M. R. Giudici and C. W. Parker, There is no upper bound for the diameter of the commuting graph of a finite group, *J. Combinatorial Theory (A)* **120** (2013), 1600–1603; arXiv 1210.0348.
- ▶ T. Breuer, R. M. Guralnick and W. M. Kantor, Probabilistic generation of finite simple groups, II, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 443–494.
- ▶ T. C. Burness and S. Guest, On the uniform spread of almost simple linear groups *Nagoya Math. J.* **209** (2013), 35–109.
- ▶ T. C. Burness and E. Crestani, On the generating graph of direct powers of a simple group, *J. Algebraic Combinatorics* **38** (2013), 329–350.
- ▶ A. Lucchini and A. Maróti, Some results and questions related to the generating graph of a finite group, Proceedings of Ischia Group Theory Conference 2008.
- ▶ P. J. Cameron, Some measures of finite groups related to permutation bases, arXiv 1408.0968.
- ▶ John Rhodes and Pedro Silva, *Boolean Representations of Simplicial Complexes and Matroids*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2015.