

# Permutation Groups and Transformation Semigroups

## Lecture 4: Regularity

Peter J. Cameron

Permutation Groups summer school, Marienheide

18–22 September 2017

Our aim in this section is to consider classes of transformation semigroups  $S \leq T_n$ , and investigate properties such as regularity. There is a permutation group associated with  $S$  in one of two possible ways: either  $S$  contains permutations, in which case  $S \cap S_n$  is a permutation group; or the *normaliser* of  $S$  in the symmetric group, the set

$$\{g \in S_n : (\forall s \in S) g^{-1}sg \in S\}$$

is a permutation group. In either case, as we will see, the group  $G$  influences the structure of  $S$ .

Much as in the last chapter, we look first at semigroups of the form  $\langle G, f \rangle$ , where  $G \leq S_n$  and  $f \in T_n \setminus S_n$ . If we could understand these semigroups, then we could proceed to add two or more non-permutations.

### 1 The problem

The material here grew from a theorem of Araújo, Mitchell and Schneider.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $G$  be a permutation group on  $\Omega$ , with  $|\Omega| = n$ . Suppose that, for any map  $f$  on  $\Omega$  which is not a permutation, the semigroup  $\langle G, f \rangle$  is regular. Then either  $G$  is the symmetric or alternating group on  $\Omega$ , or one of the following occurs:*

- (a)  $n = 5$ ,  $G = C_5$ ,  $C_5 \rtimes C_2$ , or  $C_5 \rtimes C_4$ ;
- (b)  $n = 6$ ,  $G = \text{PSL}(2, 5)$  or  $\text{PGL}(2, 5)$ ;
- (c)  $n = 7$ ,  $G = \text{AGL}(1, 7)$ ;
- (d)  $n = 8$ ,  $G = \text{PGL}(2, 7)$ ;
- (e)  $n = 9$ ,  $G = \text{PGL}(2, 8)$  or  $\text{PTL}(2, 8)$ .

(Don't worry too much about the groups in the list: the point is that, apart from finitely many exceptions,  $G$  must be a symmetric or alternating group.)

In this section we explore some extensions of this result. Suppose that, instead of asking that  $\langle G, f \rangle$  is regular for all choices of  $f$ , we require it only in some special cases, for example, all maps  $f$  of some given rank  $k$ , or all maps  $f$  whose image is a given  $k$ -element subset. The ultimate result would be a characterisation of all pairs  $(G, f)$  for which  $\langle G, f \rangle$  is regular (but we are some way from such a result now).

## 2 Multiple transitivity and homogeneity

As we saw in the first lecture, the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) has the consequence that, for  $t \geq 2$ , the finite  $t$ -transitive groups are all known explicitly. The lists (other than symmetric and alternating groups) are finite for  $t = 4, 5$  and infinite for  $t = 2, 3$ .

Much earlier, Livingstone and Wagner had investigated the relationship between  $t$ -homogeneity and  $t$ -transitivity. (We remarked earlier that  $t$ -transitivity implies  $t$ -homogeneity.) Note that a group of degree  $n$  is  $t$ -homogeneous if and only if it is  $(n - t)$ -homogeneous; so, in considering these groups, we may assume that  $t \leq n/2$ . Now Livingstone and Wagner proved the following theorem by elementary methods:

**Theorem 2.1** *Suppose that  $t \leq n/2$ , and let  $G$  be  $t$ -homogeneous of degree  $n$ . Then*

- (a)  $G$  is  $(t - 1)$ -homogeneous;
- (b)  $G$  is  $(t - 1)$ -transitive;
- (c) if  $t \geq 5$ , then  $G$  is  $t$ -transitive.

Part (a), in particular, is short and elegant, using simple facts about the character theory of the symmetric group. The permutation character on  $(t - 1)$ -sets is contained in the character on  $t$ -sets, from which it follows easily that a group transitive on  $t$ -sets must be transitive on  $(t - 1)$ -sets. This character-theoretic argument can be translated into elementary combinatorics.

Subsequently, Kantor determined all the  $t$ -homogeneous but not  $t$ -transitive groups for  $t = 2, 3, 4$ .

### 3 The universal transversal property

Suppose that  $G$  is a permutation group,  $f$  a transformation, and  $fg_1fg_2f \cdots g_rf = f$ . Then, for each  $i$ ,  $fg_if$  has the same rank as  $f$ . This implies that  $g_i$  must map the image of  $f$  to a section (transversal) for the kernel of  $f$ .

Which permutation groups  $G$  have the property that, for any map  $f$  of rank  $k$ , the element  $f$  is regular in  $\langle G, f \rangle$ ? Since the kernel and image of such a map  $f$  are an arbitrary  $k$ -partition and an arbitrary  $k$ -subset, we see that a necessary condition is that  $G$  has the following  *$k$ -universal transversal property*:

For any  $k$ -set  $A$  and any  $k$ -partition  $P$ , there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $Ag$  is a transversal for  $P$ .

So the first question we have to consider is the classification of groups with the  $k$ -universal transversal property (or  $k$ -ut property, for short).

It turns out that this property has much stronger consequences. The implication we saw above reverses, and more besides:

**Theorem 3.1** *Given  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ , the following conditions are equivalent for a subgroup  $G$  of  $S_n$ :*

- (a) *For any rank  $k$  map  $f$ ,  $f$  is regular in  $\langle G, f \rangle$ .*
- (b) *For any rank  $k$  map  $f$ ,  $\langle G, f \rangle$  is regular (this means that all its elements are regular).*
- (c) *For any rank  $k$  map  $f$ ,  $f$  is regular in  $\langle g^{-1}fg : g \in G \rangle$ .*
- (d) *For any rank  $k$  map  $f$ ,  $\langle g^{-1}fg : g \in G \rangle$  is regular.*
- (e)  *$G$  has the  $k$ -universal transversal property.*

The equivalence of (a) and (c) has been known for some time; but the equivalence of these two conditions with (b) and (d) is a bit of a surprise. The semigroup  $\langle G, f \rangle$  usually contains elements with rank smaller than  $k$ ; in order to show that these are regular, we need to know that  $G$  has the  $l$ -universal transversal property, for all  $l < k$ :

**Theorem 3.2** *For  $2 \leq k \leq n/2$ , the  $k$ -ut property implies the  $(k-1)$ -ut property.*

This is reminiscent of the first part of the Livingstone–Wagner theorem. However, there seems to be no purely combinatorial proof that the  $k$ -ut property implies the  $(k-1)$ -ut property for  $k \leq n/2$ . So we have to make a long detour, which comes close to giving a complete classification of these groups for  $k > 2$ .

Which permutation groups have the  $k$ -ut property? In one case, the answer is simple (but shows that there is no hope of a classification):

**Proposition 3.3** *A permutation group has the 2-ut property if and only if it is primitive.*

This is a nice characterisation of primitivity; it depends on facts about the orbital graph which I will prove in the final lecture.

For larger values of  $k$ , we begin to get some hold on the group. Let us say that, if  $l \leq k$ , a permutation group  $G$  is  $(l, k)$ -homogeneous if, for any  $l$ -set  $A$  and  $k$ -set  $B$ , there exists  $g \in G$  with  $Ag \subseteq B$ . If  $l = k$ , this is just  $k$ -homogeneity as previously defined.

Now observe that

If  $G$  has the  $k$ -ut, then  $G$  is  $(k-1, k)$ -homogeneous.

For, given  $A$  and  $B$  as in the definition, take the  $k$ -partition  $P$  which has the elements of  $A$  as singleton parts and one part including everything else; then a  $k$ -set is a transversal for  $P$  if and only if it contains  $A$ . So, if  $G$  has  $k$ -ut, there exists  $B$  such that  $Bg \supseteq A$ ; now the inverse of  $g$  satisfies  $Ag^{-1} \subseteq B$ .

Also, there is a close connection between  $(k-1, k)$ -homogeneity and  $(k-1)$ -homogeneity. Certainly the second of these properties implies the first. In addition, we have

There is a function  $f$  such that, if  $G$  is  $(k-1, k)$ -homogeneous of degree  $n \geq f(k)$ , then  $G$  is  $(k-1)$ -homogeneous.

For this, we take  $f(k)$  to be the Ramsey number  $R_{k-1}(k, k)$ . Suppose that  $n \geq R_{k-1}(k, k)$  and  $G$  is not  $(k-1)$ -homogeneous; colour the  $(k-1)$ -sets in one orbit red, and the remaining ones blue. The inequality on  $n$  implies that there is a monochromatic  $k$ -set; if it is red, then no blue  $(k-1)$ -set can be mapped inside it by  $G$ , and *vice versa*.

Now the analysis involves showing that, with just five exceptions (with degrees 5, 7 and 9), a  $(k-1, k)$  homogeneous group of degree  $n$ , with  $k \leq n/2$ , is  $(k-1)$ -homogeneous. The proof involves showing, by mostly combinatorial arguments,

that such a group must be 2-transitive, and then invoking the classification of the 2-transitive groups (a consequence of CFSG). Now Theorem 3.2 follows from this, since a  $(k-1)$ -homogeneous group obviously has the  $(k-1)$ -ut property.

The permutation groups which are  $(k-1, k)$ -homogeneous, and those with the  $k$ -universal transversal property, have been almost completely classified; a few stubborn families of groups (including the Suzuki groups for  $k=3$ ) are still holding out.

## 4 The existential transversal property

In this section we look at a more general problem. Instead of requiring regularity of  $\langle G, f \rangle$  for all  $f$  of rank  $k$ , we only ask it for those maps with a given image.

Given  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ , for which permutation groups  $G$  of degree  $n$  and  $k$ -subsets  $A$  of  $\Omega$  is it the case that, for all maps  $f$  with  $\text{Im}(f) = A$ , the element  $f$  is regular in  $\langle G, f \rangle$ ?

For this problem, where we fix the image rather than asking about all maps with image of size  $k$ , a weaker property than the  $k$ -ut is required. We say that  $G$  has the  *$k$ -existential transversal property*, or  *$k$ -et property* for short, if there exists a  $k$ -subset  $A$  such that, for any  $k$ -partition  $P$ , there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $Ag$  is a transversal for  $P$ . We call  $A$  a *witnessing set* for the  $k$ -et property.

Work has begun on groups with the  $k$ -et property. It is hampered by the fact that  $k$ -et does not imply  $(k-1)$ -et for  $1 < k \leq n/2$ : there are two 3-transitive groups of degree 16 which satisfy 4-et and 6-et but not 5-et. Also, the connection with homogeneity is not so straightforward. For example, the Mathieu group  $M_{24}$  (which is 5-transitive but not more) has the 7-et property.

Another issue is that the  $k$ -et property does not imply transitivity. Fortunately, however, it is possible to determine completely the intransitive groups with the property for  $2 < k < n$ : such a group must fix a point and act  $(k-1)$ -homogeneously on the remaining points. So we may assume that our group  $G$  is transitive.

However, using CFSG and quite a bit of effort, it has been possible to show:

**Theorem 4.1** *Suppose that  $8 \leq k \leq n/2$ . Then a transitive permutation group of degree  $n$  with the  $k$ -et property is the symmetric or alternating group.*

The example  $M_{24}$  shows that 8 is best possible in this theorem; but probably  $M_{24}$  is the only further example for the 7-et property.

Here is a short account of the proof, giving the main techniques used.

Suppose that  $G$  has the  $k$ -et property and let  $A$  be a witnessing set. First note that  $A$  contains a representative of every  $G$ -orbit on  $(k-1)$ -sets. For, if  $B$  is a  $(k-1)$ -set, let  $P$  be the partition which has the singletons of  $B$  as parts and a single part containing everything else. Then  $A$  can be mapped to a transversal for  $P$ , that is, a  $k$ -set containing  $B$ .

In particular, this means that  $G$  has at most  $k$ -orbits on  $(k-1)$ -sets, and so

$$|G| \geq \binom{n}{k-1} / k.$$

We call this the *order bound*, and return to it shortly. We note that the right-hand side of the order bound gets stronger as  $k$  increases (for  $k \leq n/2$ ); so, if  $G$  fails the bound for some  $k$ , then it fails for all larger  $k$ . Indeed, this bound has been improved by essentially a factor of 2 by Wolfram Bentz very recently.

The other main technique is that, if  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of some combinatorial structure, we can often find two  $(k-1)$ -subsets of that structure which cannot “coexist” inside a  $k$ -set, which would contradict the above property of the witnessing  $k$ -set. For example, suppose that  $k=4$ , and that  $G$  is imprimitive, with at least three blocks each of size at least 3. Then a 3-subset of a block and a 3-set containing a point from each of three distinct blocks cannot coexist. Pursuing this argument a little further, we conclude that, for  $k \geq 4$ ,  $G$  must be primitive.

The argument above gives us a lower bound for the order of  $G$ . There are also upper bounds for orders of primitive groups – the best of these is derived from CFSG. Combinatorial arguments also have their place here.

For example, suppose that  $G$  is one of the “large” primitive groups,  $S_m$  or  $A_m$ , in its action on 2-sets. As we saw in the preceding chapter,  $G$  preserves a graph (the line graph of  $K_m$ ) which contains a clique of size  $m-1$  and an independent set of size  $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ , which cannot coexist inside a set of size less than  $m-2 + \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ ; so  $k$  must be at least this value. Now it is easy to see that there are more than  $k$  orbits on  $(k-1)$ -sets (these orbits correspond to graphs with  $k-1$  edges).