Triangle-free strongly regular graphs: A themed series of exercises

> Peter J. Cameron University of St Andrews



Johannesburg Graph Theory School 4 October 2021 I am not sure how an exercise session over Zoom will run; so here is what I propose.

I am not sure how an exercise session over Zoom will run; so here is what I propose.

I am going to tell you a story, which involves seven beautiful graphs. On the way we will meet a number of other topics in discrete mathematics, from the outer automorphism of the symmetric group S_6 to the Steiner system on 22 points.

I am not sure how an exercise session over Zoom will run; so here is what I propose.

I am going to tell you a story, which involves seven beautiful graphs. On the way we will meet a number of other topics in discrete mathematics, from the outer automorphism of the symmetric group S_6 to the Steiner system on 22 points. At several points along the way, I will not give proofs: your job is to provide proofs of the assertions I make. I will use the tag to indicate things you could try to prove. Some are easy, some are hard. I have produced a separate file containing these problems and solutions, available on request.

A graph Γ is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) if it has the following properties:

A graph Γ is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) if it has the following properties:

it has n vertices;

A graph Γ is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) if it has the following properties:

- it has n vertices;
- every vertex has k neighbours;

A graph Γ is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) if it has the following properties:

- it has n vertices;
- every vertex has k neighbours;
- the number of common neighbours of two vertices *v* and *w* is λ if *v* and *w* are joined, or μ if they are not joined.

A graph Γ is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) if it has the following properties:

- it has n vertices;
- every vertex has k neighbours;
- the number of common neighbours of two vertices *v* and *w* is λ if *v* and *w* are joined, or μ if they are not joined.

We will be talking about strongly regular graphs with no triangles (that is, with $\lambda = 0$). For any *n*, the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ is strongly regular, with parameters (2n, n, 0, n).

Apart from these rather trivial examples, only seven strongly regular graphs with no triangles. Here they are with their parameters:

▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- ▶ the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- ▶ the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);
- ▶ the Hoffman–Singleton graph, (50,7,0,1);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- ▶ the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);
- ▶ the Hoffman–Singleton graph, (50, 7, 0, 1);
- ▶ the Gewirtz graph, (56, 10, 0, 2);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- ▶ the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);
- ▶ the Hoffman–Singleton graph, (50,7,0,1);
- ▶ the Gewirtz graph, (56, 10, 0, 2);
- the 77-graph, (77, 16, 0, 4);

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);
- ▶ the Hoffman–Singleton graph, (50,7,0,1);
- ▶ the Gewirtz graph, (56, 10, 0, 2);
- the 77-graph, (77, 16, 0, 4);
- the Higman–Sims graph, (100, 22, 0, 6) (actually found by Mesner).

Apart from these rather trivial examples, only seven strongly regular graphs with no triangles. Here they are with their parameters:

- ▶ the 5-cycle *C*₅, (5, 2, 0, 1);
- the Petersen graph, (10, 3, 0, 1);
- the Clebsch graph, (16, 5, 0, 2) (some people use this name for the complementary graph);
- ▶ the Hoffman–Singleton graph, (50,7,0,1);
- ▶ the Gewirtz graph, (56, 10, 0, 2);
- the 77-graph, (77, 16, 0, 4);
- the Higman–Sims graph, (100, 22, 0, 6) (actually found by Mesner).

[Why not the 4-cycle C_4 ? Because it is $K_{2,2}$.]

Introducing the cast

The 5-cycle needs no introduction.

Introducing the cast

The 5-cycle needs no introduction.

The Petersen graph can be introduced by a drawing:



Introducing the cast

The 5-cycle needs no introduction.

The Petersen graph can be introduced by a drawing:



It can also be described as follows. The vertices are the 2-element subsets of a set of size 5, two vertices joined if the subsets are disjoint. These descriptions all give the same graph.

To discuss the Clebsch graph, I will first introduce the *n*-cube Q_n . The vertices are all the subsets of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$; two vertices *A* and *B* are joined if their symmetric difference has size 1, that is, if either $B = A \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin A$, or $A = B \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin B$. It is a regular graph with valency *n* on 2^n vertices; it has diameter *n*, and is antipodal: that is, for every vertex, there is a unique vertex at distance *n* from it (the complementary subset).

To discuss the Clebsch graph, I will first introduce the *n*-cube Q_n . The vertices are all the subsets of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$; two vertices A and B are joined if their symmetric difference has size 1, that is, if either $B = A \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin A$, or $A = B \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin B$. It is a regular graph with valency n on 2^n vertices; it has diameter n, and is antipodal: that is, for every vertex, there is a unique vertex at distance n from it (the complementary subset). Here are two graphs:

To discuss the Clebsch graph, I will first introduce the *n*-cube Q_n . The vertices are all the subsets of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$; two vertices A and B are joined if their symmetric difference has size 1, that is, if either $B = A \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin A$, or $A = B \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin B$. It is a regular graph with valency n on 2^n vertices; it has diameter n, and is antipodal: that is, for every vertex, there is a unique vertex at distance n from it (the complementary subset). Here are two graphs:

► Take the 5-cube and identify antipodal vertices.

To discuss the Clebsch graph, I will first introduce the *n*-cube Q_n . The vertices are all the subsets of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$; two vertices A and B are joined if their symmetric difference has size 1, that is, if either $B = A \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin A$, or $A = B \cup \{x\}$ with $x \notin B$. It is a regular graph with valency n on 2^n vertices; it has diameter n, and is antipodal: that is, for every vertex, there is a unique vertex at distance n from it (the complementary subset). Here are two graphs:

- ► Take the 5-cube and identify antipodal vertices.
- Take the 4-cube and add edges joining antipodal pairs of vertices.

These two graphs are isomorphic. This is the Clebsch graph. It is strongly regular with parameters (16, 5, 0, 2). Moreover, the set of vertices at distance 2 from a given vertex induces the

Petersen graph. You should prove all this.

The Clebsch graph

You have seen the Clebsch graph before, since it appears on the conference web page, from which I borrowed this picture:

The Clebsch graph

You have seen the Clebsch graph before, since it appears on the conference web page, from which I borrowed this picture:



The Clebsch graph

You have seen the Clebsch graph before, since it appears on the conference web page, from which I borrowed this picture:



If you delete the central vertex and its five neighbours, you will recognise what is left as our picture of the Petersen graph with fivefold symmetry.

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Let A be its adjacency matrix, J the all-1 matrix of order n, and I the all-1 identity matrix. Note that B = J - I - A is the adjacency matrix of the complement of Γ .

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Let A be its adjacency matrix, J the all-1 matrix of order n, and I the all-1 identity matrix. Note that B = J - I - A is the adjacency matrix of the complement of Γ .

$$\blacktriangleright AJ = kJ;$$

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Let A be its adjacency matrix, J the all-1 matrix of order n, and I the all-1 identity matrix. Note that B = J - I - A is the adjacency matrix of the complement of Γ .

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Let A be its adjacency matrix, J the all-1 matrix of order n, and I the all-1 identity matrix. Note that B = J - I - A is the adjacency matrix of the complement of Γ .

So *A* has an eigenvalue *k* corresponding to the all-1 vector **j**; it preserves the space \mathbf{j}^{\perp} of real vectors with coordinate sum 0, and on this space satisfies the quadratic equation $A^{2} = (k - \mu)I + (\lambda - \mu)A.$ Hence it has just two eigenvalues on \mathbf{j}^{\perp} .

Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (n, k, λ, μ) . Let *A* be its adjacency matrix, *J* the all-1 matrix of order *n*, and *I* the all-1 identity matrix. Note that B = I - I - A is the adjacency matrix of the complement of Γ .

•
$$AJ = kJ;$$

$$\blacktriangleright A^2 = kI + \lambda A + \mu B.$$

So *A* has an eigenvalue *k* corresponding to the all-1 vector **j**; it preserves the space \mathbf{i}^{\perp} of real vectors with coordinate sum 0, and on this space satisfies the quadratic equation $A^2 = (k - \mu)I + (\lambda - \mu)A$. Hence it has just two eigenvalues on i⊥.

Conversely, if Γ is a graph whose adjacency matrix has **j** as an eigenvector and has just two eigenvalues on \mathbf{j}^{\perp} , then Γ is

strongly regular.



Uniqueness

It can be shown that the Petersen and Clebsch graphs are the unique strongly regular graphs with their parameters.

Uniqueness

It can be shown that the Petersen and Clebsch graphs are the unique strongly regular graphs with their parameters.

Uniqueness

It can be shown that the Petersen and Clebsch graphs are the unique strongly regular graphs with their parameters. In other words,

 a graph having eigenvalues 3, 1, -2 with multiplicities 1, 5, 4 respectively is isomorphic to the Petersen graph;
Uniqueness

It can be shown that the Petersen and Clebsch graphs are the unique strongly regular graphs with their parameters. In other words,

- a graph having eigenvalues 3, 1, -2 with multiplicities 1, 5, 4 respectively is isomorphic to the Petersen graph;
- ▶ a graph having eigenvalues 5, 1, -3 with multiplicities 1, 10, 5 respectively is isomorphic to the Clebsch graph.

Uniqueness

It can be shown that the Petersen and Clebsch graphs are the unique strongly regular graphs with their parameters. In other words,

- a graph having eigenvalues 3, 1, -2 with multiplicities 1, 5, 4 respectively is isomorphic to the Petersen graph;
- a graph having eigenvalues 5, 1, -3 with multiplicities 1, 10, 5 respectively is isomorphic to the Clebsch graph.

We are going to use this to look at a problem due to Allan Schwenk.

The Petersen graph has 15 edges, one-third of the number in the complete graph K_{10} . Schwenk asked: Can K_{10} be partitioned into three copies of the Petersen graph? He gave the answer "No" by the following lovely argument.

The Petersen graph has 15 edges, one-third of the number in the complete graph K_{10} . Schwenk asked: Can K_{10} be partitioned into three copies of the Petersen graph? He gave the answer "No" by the following lovely argument. Suppose we have such a partition. Let A_1 , A_2 , A_3 be their adjacency matrices, so that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J - I$.

The Petersen graph has 15 edges, one-third of the number in the complete graph K_{10} . Schwenk asked: Can K_{10} be partitioned into three copies of the Petersen graph? He gave the answer "No" by the following lovely argument. Suppose we have such a partition. Let A_1 , A_2 , A_3 be their adjacency matrices, so that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = I - I$. Now \mathbf{j}^{\perp} is 9-dimensional and contains the 5-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 with eigenvalue 1. These spaces must have non-zero intersection, since 5 + 5 > 9. On the intersection, $A_3 = J - I - A_1 - A_2$ has eigenvalue 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 = -3. So A_3 cannot be the adjacency matrix of a copy of the Petersen graph.

The Petersen graph has 15 edges, one-third of the number in the complete graph K_{10} . Schwenk asked: Can K_{10} be partitioned into three copies of the Petersen graph? He gave the answer "No" by the following lovely argument. Suppose we have such a partition. Let A_1 , A_2 , A_3 be their adjacency matrices, so that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = I - I$. Now \mathbf{j}^{\perp} is 9-dimensional and contains the 5-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 with eigenvalue 1. These spaces must have non-zero intersection, since 5 + 5 > 9. On the intersection, $A_3 = J - I - A_1 - A_2$ has eigenvalue 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 = -3. So A_3 cannot be the adjacency matrix of a copy of the Petersen graph. Indeed a graph with valency 3 having an eigenvalue -3 is bipartite. So if we can find two disjoint Petersen graphs in K_{10} , the remaining edges form a bipartite graph.

The Petersen graph has 15 edges, one-third of the number in the complete graph K_{10} . Schwenk asked: Can K_{10} be partitioned into three copies of the Petersen graph? He gave the answer "No" by the following lovely argument. Suppose we have such a partition. Let A_1 , A_2 , A_3 be their adjacency matrices, so that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J - I$. Now \mathbf{j}^{\perp} is 9-dimensional and contains the 5-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 with eigenvalue 1. These spaces must have non-zero intersection, since 5 + 5 > 9. On the intersection, $A_3 = J - I - A_1 - A_2$ has eigenvalue 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 = -3. So A_3 cannot be the adjacency matrix of a copy of the Petersen graph. Indeed a graph with valency 3 having an eigenvalue -3 is bipartite. So if we can find two disjoint Petersen graphs in K_{10} , the remaining edges form a bipartite graph.

Find such a partition.



The Clebsch graph has 40 edges, one-third the number of K_{16} . So again we can ask: Can the edges of K_{16} be partitioned into three Clebsch graphs?

The Clebsch graph has 40 edges, one-third the number of K_{16} . So again we can ask: Can the edges of K_{16} be partitioned into three Clebsch graphs?

Let us try the same trick. Suppose that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J - I$, where at least A_1 and A_2 are adjacency matrices of Clebsch graphs. Then A_3 is the adjacency matrix of a graph of valency 5. The space \mathbf{j}^{\perp} has dimension 15 and contains the 10-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 each with eigenvalue 1. So their intersection has dimension at least 5, and on this space, A_3 has eigenvalue -1 - 1 - 1 = -3.

The Clebsch graph has 40 edges, one-third the number of K_{16} . So again we can ask: Can the edges of K_{16} be partitioned into three Clebsch graphs?

Let us try the same trick. Suppose that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J - I$, where at least A_1 and A_2 are adjacency matrices of Clebsch graphs. Then A_3 is the adjacency matrix of a graph of valency 5. The space \mathbf{j}^{\perp} has dimension 15 and contains the 10-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 each with eigenvalue 1. So their intersection has dimension at least 5, and on this space, A_3 has eigenvalue -1 - 1 - 1 = -3.

Now an application of Cauchy's inequality shows that all 10 remaining eigenvalues of A_3 are equal to 1. So A_3 is the

adjacency matrix of another Clebsch graph.

The Clebsch graph has 40 edges, one-third the number of K_{16} . So again we can ask: Can the edges of K_{16} be partitioned into three Clebsch graphs?

Let us try the same trick. Suppose that $A_1 + A_2 + A_3 = J - I$, where at least A_1 and A_2 are adjacency matrices of Clebsch graphs. Then A_3 is the adjacency matrix of a graph of valency 5. The space j^{\perp} has dimension 15 and contains the 10-dimensional eigenspaces of A_1 and A_2 each with eigenvalue 1. So their intersection has dimension at least 5, and on this space, A_3 has eigenvalue -1 - 1 - 1 = -3.

Now an application of Cauchy's inequality shows that all 10 remaining eigenvalues of A_3 are equal to 1. So A_3 is the

adjacency matrix of another Clebsch graph. In other words, if we can find two disjoint Clebsch graphs, then what remains is also a Clebsch graph.

Finding a partition

Let *F* be a finite field of order 16. Its multiplicative group has order 15, and so the field contains five 5th roots of unity. Its cosets are the sets of 5th roots of the three non-zero elements in the subfield of order 4. Let A, B, C be these three sets.

Finding a partition

Let *F* be a finite field of order 16. Its multiplicative group has order 15, and so the field contains five 5th roots of unity. Its cosets are the sets of 5th roots of the three non-zero elements in the subfield of order 4. Let *A*, *B*, *C* be these three sets. Now consider the Cayley graphs of the additive group of F with A, B and C as connection sets. Now one can show that no set of three or four of the 5th roots of unity can sum to zero; so the first Cayley graph has no cycles of length 3, and none of length 4 except for (x, x + a, x + a + a', x + a', x). So the first Cayley graph is strongly regular with parameters (16, 5, 0, 2). Hence it is a Clebsch graph. 🎾

Finding a partition

Let *F* be a finite field of order 16. Its multiplicative group has order 15, and so the field contains five 5th roots of unity. Its cosets are the sets of 5th roots of the three non-zero elements in the subfield of order 4. Let *A*, *B*, *C* be these three sets. Now consider the Cayley graphs of the additive group of F with *A*, *B* and *C* as connection sets. Now one can show that no set of three or four of the 5th roots of unity can sum to zero; so the first Cayley graph has no cycles of length 3, and none of length 4 except for (x, x + a, x + a + a', x + a', x). So the first Cayley graph is strongly regular with parameters (16, 5, 0, 2). Hence it is a Clebsch graph. Multiplication by a cube root of unity permutes A, B, C cyclically, so all three of the Cayley graphs is isomorphic to the Clebsch graph.

I am sure you have all seen the proof that, if the edges of the complete graph on six vertices are coloured red and blue, then there must be a monochromatic triangle.

I am sure you have all seen the proof that, if the edges of the complete graph on six vertices are coloured red and blue, then there must be a monochromatic triangle.

Six is best possible here; for the complement of C_5 is C_5 , so on five vertices, if we colour a cycle red and the other edges blue, there is no monochromatic triangle.

I am sure you have all seen the proof that, if the edges of the complete graph on six vertices are coloured red and blue, then there must be a monochromatic triangle.

- Six is best possible here; for the complement of C_5 is C_5 , so on five vertices, if we colour a cycle red and the other edges blue, there is no monochromatic triangle.
- What about three colours? It is not hard to show that, in K_{17} , if the edges are coloured with three colours, then there is a

monochromatic triangle. Seventeen is best possible. For in the partition into three Clebsch graphs just constructed, if we colour them with three different colours, there is no monochromatic triangle.

I am sure you have all seen the proof that, if the edges of the complete graph on six vertices are coloured red and blue, then there must be a monochromatic triangle.

- Six is best possible here; for the complement of C_5 is C_5 , so on five vertices, if we colour a cycle red and the other edges blue, there is no monochromatic triangle.
- What about three colours? It is not hard to show that, in K_{17} , if the edges are coloured with three colours, then there is a
- monochromatic triangle. Seventeen is best possible. For in the partition into three Clebsch graphs just constructed, if we colour them with three different colours, there is no monochromatic triangle.

This argument is due to Greenwood and Gleason.

A Moore graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* is a regular graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* which has the largest possible girth, namely 2d + 1.

A Moore graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* is a regular graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* which has the largest possible girth, namely 2d + 1. In the case d = 2, such a graph is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, 0, 1), with $n = k^2 + 1$. Analysis of their eigenvalues and their multiplicities shows that necessarily

k = 2, 3, 7 or 57.

A Moore graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* is a regular graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* which has the largest possible girth, namely 2d + 1.

In the case d = 2, such a graph is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, 0, 1), with $n = k^2 + 1$. Analysis of their eigenvalues and their multiplicities shows that necessarily

k = 2, 3, 7 or 57.

For k = 2 and k = 3, there are unique graphs, namely the first two of our magnificent seven: the 5-cycle and the Petersen graph. Hoffman and Singleton constructed and showed unique a Moore graph with valency 7; I will show you how this can be done. The existence of a Moore graph of valency 57 is still unknown.

A Moore graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* is a regular graph of diameter *d* and valency *k* which has the largest possible girth, namely 2d + 1.

In the case d = 2, such a graph is strongly regular with parameters (n, k, 0, 1), with $n = k^2 + 1$. Analysis of their eigenvalues and their multiplicities shows that necessarily

k = 2, 3, 7 or 57.

For k = 2 and k = 3, there are unique graphs, namely the first two of our magnificent seven: the 5-cycle and the Petersen graph. Hoffman and Singleton constructed and showed unique a Moore graph with valency 7; I will show you how this can be done. The existence of a Moore graph of valency 57 is still unknown.

For larger diameters, Bannai and Ito, and independently Damerell, showed that the only possible graph is the 2d + 1-cycle.

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism.

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism. I will sketch Sylvester's construction.

Let *A* be a set of size 6. The complete graph on *A* has

▶ 15 edges;

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism. I will sketch Sylvester's construction.

Let *A* be a set of size 6. The complete graph on *A* has

▶ 15 edges;

 15 one-factors (sets of three edges partitioning the vertex set);

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism. I will sketch Sylvester's construction.

Let *A* be a set of size 6. The complete graph on *A* has

- ▶ 15 edges;
- 15 one-factors (sets of three edges partitioning the vertex set);
- 6 one-factorizations (sets of five one-factors partitioning the edge set).

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism. I will sketch Sylvester's construction.

Let *A* be a set of size 6. The complete graph on *A* has

- ▶ 15 edges;
- 15 one-factors (sets of three edges partitioning the vertex set);
- 6 one-factorizations (sets of five one-factors partitioning the edge set).

Let *B* be the set of 1-factorizations.

It is a remarkable fact, first established by Sylvester, that the symmetric group S_6 has an outer automorphism (one not induced by conjugation by a group element). In fact, no other symmetric group, finite or infinite, has an outer automorphism. I will sketch Sylvester's construction.

Let *A* be a set of size 6. The complete graph on *A* has

- ▶ 15 edges;
- 15 one-factors (sets of three edges partitioning the vertex set);
- 6 one-factorizations (sets of five one-factors partitioning the edge set).

Let *B* be the set of 1-factorizations.

Two 1-factorizations share a unique common 1-factor, so 1-factors can be identified with edges on *B*. Similarly, edges on *A* can be identified with 1-factors on *B*, and points of *A* with 1-factorizations on *B*. So doing the process twice brings us back.

Now it is clear that any permutation π on A induces a permutation π^* on B; moreover $(\pi\sigma)^* = \pi^*\sigma^*$. so the map $\pi \mapsto \pi^*$ is an isomorphism from $S_6(A)$ to $S_6(B)$, in other words, induces an automorphism of S_6 . It is not an inner automorphism, since the stabilizer of a 1-factorization fixes no point of A.

Now it is clear that any permutation π on A induces a permutation π^* on B; moreover $(\pi\sigma)^* = \pi^*\sigma^*$. so the map $\pi \mapsto \pi^*$ is an isomorphism from $S_6(A)$ to $S_6(B)$, in other words, induces an automorphism of S_6 . It is not an inner automorphism, since the stabilizer of a 1-factorization fixes no point of A.

Sylvester, who was a master at inventing offbeat terminology, used the terms "duad", "syntheme", and "synthematic total" for what I have called edges, 1-factors, and 1-factorizations.

Now it is clear that any permutation π on A induces a permutation π^* on B; moreover $(\pi\sigma)^* = \pi^*\sigma^*$. so the map $\pi \mapsto \pi^*$ is an isomorphism from $S_6(A)$ to $S_6(B)$, in other words, induces an automorphism of S_6 . It is not an inner automorphism, since the stabilizer of a 1-factorization fixes no point of A.

Sylvester, who was a master at inventing offbeat terminology, used the terms "duad", "syntheme", and "synthematic total" for what I have called edges, 1-factors, and 1-factorizations. Now we are going to use this set-up to construct a very interesting graph, which was named the Sylvester graph by Norman Biggs.

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

• The vertex set is $A \times B$.

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

- The vertex set is $A \times B$.
- There is an edge joining (a, b) to (a', b') if and only if the edge {a, a'} belongs to the unique 1-factor belonging to b and b'.

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

- The vertex set is $A \times B$.
- There is an edge joining (a, b) to (a', b') if and only if the edge {a, a'} belongs to the unique 1-factor belonging to b and b'.

This is the Sylvester graph. Now one can show:

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

- The vertex set is $A \times B$.
- There is an edge joining (a, b) to (a', b') if and only if the edge {a, a'} belongs to the unique 1-factor belonging to b and b'.
- This is the Sylvester graph. Now one can show:
 - The graph has valency 5.
The Sylvester graph

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

- The vertex set is $A \times B$.
- There is an edge joining (a, b) to (a', b') if and only if the edge {a, a'} belongs to the unique 1-factor belonging to b and b'.
- This is the Sylvester graph. Now one can show:
 - ▶ The graph has valency 5.
 - A vertex and its five neighbours have the property that one is in each row and one in each column of the square array A × B.

The Sylvester graph

As described, let *A* be a 6-set and *B* the set of six 1-factorizations on *A*. Construct a graph as follows:

- The vertex set is $A \times B$.
- There is an edge joining (a, b) to (a', b') if and only if the edge {a, a'} belongs to the unique 1-factor belonging to b and b'.
- This is the Sylvester graph. Now one can show:
 - ▶ The graph has valency 5.
 - A vertex and its five neighbours have the property that one is in each row and one in each column of the square array A × B.
 - Conversely, if two vertices lie in different rows and different columns, then they lie at distance 1 or 2 in the graph. Vertices in the same row or in the same column are at distance 3.

What is special about the number 6? We have seen that the symmetric group of degree 6 has an outer automorphism, which can be used to construct various combinatorial objects. (We will soon see the Hoffman–Singleton graph, but others include the projective plane of order 4 and the Steiner system S(5, 6, 12).)

What is special about the number 6? We have seen that the symmetric group of degree 6 has an outer automorphism, which can be used to construct various combinatorial objects. (We will soon see the Hoffman–Singleton graph, but others include the projective plane of order 4 and the Steiner system S(5, 6, 12).)

Another thing goes back to the work of Euler, and was proved by Bose, Shrikhande and Parker: 6 is the only order greater than 2 for which there do not exist orthogonal Latin squares.

What is special about the number 6? We have seen that the symmetric group of degree 6 has an outer automorphism, which can be used to construct various combinatorial objects. (We will soon see the Hoffman–Singleton graph, but others include the projective plane of order 4 and the Steiner system S(5, 6, 12).)

Another thing goes back to the work of Euler, and was proved by Bose, Shrikhande and Parker: 6 is the only order greater than 2 for which there do not exist orthogonal Latin squares. Now statisticians, especially in agricultural research, use orthogonal Latin squares of order *n* to construct certain block designs called square lattice designs for n^2 treatments. So what to do if it happens that n = 6?

What is special about the number 6? We have seen that the symmetric group of degree 6 has an outer automorphism, which can be used to construct various combinatorial objects. (We will soon see the Hoffman–Singleton graph, but others include the projective plane of order 4 and the Steiner system S(5, 6, 12).)

Another thing goes back to the work of Euler, and was proved by Bose, Shrikhande and Parker: 6 is the only order greater than 2 for which there do not exist orthogonal Latin squares. Now statisticians, especially in agricultural research, use orthogonal Latin squares of order *n* to construct certain block designs called square lattice designs for n^2 treatments. So what to do if it happens that n = 6?

Recently in a paper with Rosemary Bailey, Leonard Soicher and Emlyn Williams, we showed how the Sylvester graph can be used to construct substitute designs which would be nearly as good as the (non-existent) square lattice designs.

Now we can construct the Hoffman–Singleton graph as follows.

The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:

$$\{\alpha,\beta\}.$$

• {
$$\alpha$$
, a } for all $a \in A$.

•
$$\{\beta, b\}$$
 for all $b \in B$.

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:
 - $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.
 - $\{\alpha, a\}$ for all $a \in A$.
 - $\{\beta, b\}$ for all $b \in B$.
 - $\{a, (a, b)\}$ and $\{b, (a, b)\}$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$.

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:
 - *{α, β}*.
 - $\{\alpha, a\}$ for all $a \in A$.
 - $\{\beta, b\}$ for all $b \in B$.
 - $\{a, (a, b)\}$ and $\{b, (a, b)\}$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$.
 - the edges of the Sylvester graph on $A \times B$.

Now we can construct the Hoffman–Singleton graph as follows.

- The vertex set is {α, β} ∪ A ∪ B ∪ (A × B). (Here A and B are as we have discussed above.)
- Edges are as follows:
 - {α, β}.
 - $\{\alpha, a\}$ for all $a \in A$.
 - $\{\beta, b\}$ for all $b \in B$.
 - $\{a, (a, b)\}$ and $\{b, (a, b)\}$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$.
 - the edges of the Sylvester graph on $A \times B$.

It is strongly regular with parameters (50, 7, 0, 1), in other words, a Moore graph.

Partition?

The number of edges of the Hoffman–Singleton graph is one-seventh of the number of edges of K_{50} . Can K_{50} be partitioned into seven Hoffman–Singleton graphs?

Partition?

The number of edges of the Hoffman–Singleton graph is one-seventh of the number of edges of K_{50} . Can K_{50} be partitioned into seven Hoffman–Singleton graphs? None is known. But Martin Mačaj reports that there are at least 1600 ways of packing six copies of Hoffman–Singleton so that the edge sets are disjoint. In no case do the remaining edges form a Hoffman–Singleton graph.

Partition?

The number of edges of the Hoffman–Singleton graph is one-seventh of the number of edges of K_{50} . Can K_{50} be partitioned into seven Hoffman–Singleton graphs? None is known. But Martin Mačaj reports that there are at least 1600 ways of packing six copies of Hoffman–Singleton so that the edge sets are disjoint. In no case do the remaining edges form a Hoffman–Singleton graph.

The authors assumed the set of graphs invariant under a non-trivial group. So if the partition exists, it has no non-trivial automorphisms.

Orthogonal Latin squares

Now we start on the road to building the last three graphs.

Orthogonal Latin squares

Now we start on the road to building the last three graphs. First, the strongly regular Latin square graphs can be generalised. Two Latin squares L_1 and L_2 of the same order are orthogonal if given a pair (a, b) of letters, there is a unique cell (i, j) such that the (i, j) entry of L_1 is *a* while that of L_2 is *b*. Here is an example:

Orthogonal Latin squares

Now we start on the road to building the last three graphs. First, the strongly regular Latin square graphs can be generalised. Two Latin squares L_1 and L_2 of the same order are **orthogonal** if given a pair (a, b) of letters, there is a unique cell (i, j) such that the (i, j) entry of L_1 is *a* while that of L_2 is *b*. Here is an example:

а	b	С	p	q	r]	ар	bq	cr
b	С	а	r	p	q	\rightarrow	br	ср	aq
С	a	b	q	r	p]	сq	ar	bp

A set of Latin squares is **mutually orthogonal** if any two of the squares are orthogonal.

The size of a set of mutually orthogonal Latin squares (or

MOLS) of order *n* is at most n - 1.

Pseudo Latin square graphs

Given a set of s - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order n, make a graph whose vertices are the n^2 cells, with two cells joined if they lie in the same row or the same column or have the same entry in one of the squares.

Pseudo Latin square graphs

Given a set of s - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order n, make a graph whose vertices are the n^2 cells, with two cells joined if they lie in the same row or the same column or have the same entry in one of the squares.

This graph is strongly regular, with parameters

$$(n^2, s(n-1), s^2 - 3s + n, s(s-1)).$$

Pseudo Latin square graphs

Given a set of s - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order n, make a graph whose vertices are the n^2 cells, with two cells joined if they lie in the same row or the same column or have the same entry in one of the squares.

This graph is strongly regular, with parameters

$$(n^2, s(n-1), s^2 - 3s + n, s(s-1)).$$

Any strongly regular graph with these parameters is called a pseudo Latin square graph.

Bruck proved that if s is not too large (roughly the fourth root of n), then any pseudo Latin square graph actually arises from a set of MOLS.

In his PhD thesis, Dale Mesner had the happy idea that, if we replace *n* and *s* by their negatives in the parameter formulae, we obtain what may again be possible parameters of strongly regular graphs.

In his PhD thesis, Dale Mesner had the happy idea that, if we replace *n* and *s* by their negatives in the parameter formulae, we obtain what may again be possible parameters of strongly regular graphs.

Thus, a negative Latin square graph is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n^2, s(n+1), s^2 + 3s - n, s(s+1))$.

In his PhD thesis, Dale Mesner had the happy idea that, if we replace *n* and *s* by their negatives in the parameter formulae, we obtain what may again be possible parameters of strongly regular graphs.

Thus, a negative Latin square graph is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n^2, s(n + 1), s^2 + 3s - n, s(s + 1))$. The value of λ is $s^2 + 3s - n$. Since this must be non-negative, we require that $n \le s(s + 3)$. If equality holds, we would have a graph with no triangles.

In his PhD thesis, Dale Mesner had the happy idea that, if we replace *n* and *s* by their negatives in the parameter formulae, we obtain what may again be possible parameters of strongly regular graphs.

Thus, a negative Latin square graph is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n^2, s(n+1), s^2 + 3s - n, s(s+1))$.

The value of λ is $s^2 + 3s - n$. Since this must be non-negative, we require that $n \le s(s+3)$. If equality holds, we would have a graph with no triangles.

For s = 1, this would give parameters (16, 5, 0, 2), and the unique such graph is the Clebsch graph. For s = 2 it would give (100, 22, 0, 6).

In his PhD thesis, Dale Mesner had the happy idea that, if we replace *n* and *s* by their negatives in the parameter formulae, we obtain what may again be possible parameters of strongly regular graphs.

Thus, a negative Latin square graph is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(n^2, s(n+1), s^2 + 3s - n, s(s+1))$.

The value of λ is $s^2 + 3s - n$. Since this must be non-negative, we require that $n \le s(s+3)$. If equality holds, we would have a graph with no triangles.

For s = 1, this would give parameters (16, 5, 0, 2), and the unique such graph is the Clebsch graph. For s = 2 it would give (100, 22, 0, 6).

In his thesis, Mesner constructed a graph with these parameters.

Higman and Sims



On 3 September 1967, Donald Higman and Charles Sims were at a group theory conference in Oxford. Marshall Hall had just announced the construction of the simple group discovered by Zvonimir Janko, as a permutation group on 100 points (actually a subgroup of index 2 in the automorphism group of a pseudo-Latin square graph with parameters (100, 36, 14, 12)). At the conference dinner, Higman and Sims wondered whether there might be another sporadic simple group which was also a permutation group on 100 points. By the end of the evening they had found one. R. D. Carmichael had constructed in 1931, and Ernst Witt proved unique in 1938, a configuration with 22 points and 77 blocks (we would call this a Steiner system S(3, 6, 22)) whose automorphism group contained the Mathieu group M_{22} as a subgroup of index 2. Higman and Sims built a graph from Witt's design. The vertex set consisted of the points and the blocks and one additional point *; the edges were given by three simple rules:

- * is joined to all points;
- a point and block are joined if they are incident;
- two blocks are joined if they are disjoint (no point is incident to both).

R. D. Carmichael had constructed in 1931, and Ernst Witt proved unique in 1938, a configuration with 22 points and 77 blocks (we would call this a Steiner system S(3, 6, 22)) whose automorphism group contained the Mathieu group M_{22} as a subgroup of index 2. Higman and Sims built a graph from Witt's design. The vertex set consisted of the points and the blocks and one additional point *; the edges were given by three simple rules:

- * is joined to all points;
- a point and block are joined if they are incident;
- two blocks are joined if they are disjoint (no point is incident to both).

Using properties of the Steiner system, they showed that it is strongly regular with parameters (100, 22, 0, 6).

R. D. Carmichael had constructed in 1931, and Ernst Witt proved unique in 1938, a configuration with 22 points and 77 blocks (we would call this a Steiner system S(3, 6, 22)) whose automorphism group contained the Mathieu group M_{22} as a subgroup of index 2. Higman and Sims built a graph from Witt's design. The vertex set consisted of the points and the blocks and one additional point *; the edges were given by three simple rules:

- * is joined to all points;
- a point and block are joined if they are incident;
- two blocks are joined if they are disjoint (no point is incident to both).

Using properties of the Steiner system, they showed that it is strongly regular with parameters (100, 22, 0, 6). Now they had to show that the graph looks the same from any point; this follows from standard properties and uniqueness of the design. It follows that its automorphism group is transitive, and contains a (new) simple group as a subgroup of index 2.

The reasons that Higman and Sims were able to find this graph in an evening where Mesner had struggled over it were twofold: they understood groups; and they knew about the Carmichael–Witt Steiner system. Mesner had to do everything with bare hands.

The reasons that Higman and Sims were able to find this graph in an evening where Mesner had struggled over it were twofold: they understood groups; and they knew about the Carmichael–Witt Steiner system. Mesner had to do everything with bare hands.

We refer to the group as the Higman–Sims group, but it would be reasonable to call the graph the Mesner graph.

The reasons that Higman and Sims were able to find this graph in an evening where Mesner had struggled over it were twofold: they understood groups; and they knew about the Carmichael–Witt Steiner system. Mesner had to do everything with bare hands.

We refer to the group as the Higman–Sims group, but it would be reasonable to call the graph the Mesner graph. See the paper by Misha Klin and Andrew Woldar in *Acta Universitatis Matthiae Belii*, series Mathematics, **25** (2017), 5–62, for further background and discussion:

http://actamath.savbb.sk.
Now we get the last two known triangle-free strongly regular graphs as follows:

Now we get the last two known triangle-free strongly regular graphs as follows:

The 77-graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to a given vertex in the Mesner graph. Equivalenly, the vertices are blocks of *S*(3, 6, 22), joined if they are disjoint. It has parameters (77, 16, 0, 4).

Now we get the last two known triangle-free strongly regular graphs as follows:

- The 77-graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to a given vertex in the Mesner graph. Equivalenly, the vertices are blocks of *S*(3, 6, 22), joined if they are disjoint. It has parameters (77, 16, 0, 4).
- The Gewirtz graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to either end of a given edge in the Mesner graph. It has parameters (56, 10, 0, 2).

Now we get the last two known triangle-free strongly regular graphs as follows:

- The 77-graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to a given vertex in the Mesner graph. Equivalenly, the vertices are blocks of *S*(3, 6, 22), joined if they are disjoint. It has parameters (77, 16, 0, 4).
- The Gewirtz graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to either end of a given edge in the Mesner graph. It has parameters (56, 10, 0, 2).

For a challenging exercise, prove that these recipes describe strongly regular graphs with the stated parameters.

Now we get the last two known triangle-free strongly regular graphs as follows:

- The 77-graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to a given vertex in the Mesner graph. Equivalenly, the vertices are blocks of *S*(3, 6, 22), joined if they are disjoint. It has parameters (77, 16, 0, 4).
- The Gewirtz graph is the induced subgraph on the set of vertices not adjacent to either end of a given edge in the Mesner graph. It has parameters (56, 10, 0, 2).

For a challenging exercise, prove that these recipes describe strongly regular graphs with the stated parameters. As a final note, the vertex set of the Mesner graph can be partitioned into two subsets of size 50 so that the induced subgraph on each subset is the Hoffman–Singleton graph.

The easiest way to verify properties of the two graphs above is to use a theorem of Goethals and Seidel, generalising a result of Bhagwandas and Shrikhande.

The easiest way to verify properties of the two graphs above is to use a theorem of Goethals and Seidel, generalising a result of Bhagwandas and Shrikhande.

A 2-design has points and blocks, each block a *k*-subset of the point set, and any two points lying in a constant number λ of blocks.

The easiest way to verify properties of the two graphs above is to use a theorem of Goethals and Seidel, generalising a result of Bhagwandas and Shrikhande.

A 2-design has points and blocks, each block a *k*-subset of the point set, and any two points lying in a constant number λ of blocks.

It is **quasi-symmetric** if any two blocks intersect in either *a* or *b* points, for some numbers *a* and *b*. The **block graph** of such a design has blocks as vertices, two blocks joined if they intersect in *b* points.

The easiest way to verify properties of the two graphs above is to use a theorem of Goethals and Seidel, generalising a result of Bhagwandas and Shrikhande.

A 2-design has points and blocks, each block a *k*-subset of the point set, and any two points lying in a constant number λ of blocks.

It is **quasi-symmetric** if any two blocks intersect in either *a* or *b* points, for some numbers *a* and *b*. The **block graph** of such a design has blocks as vertices, two blocks joined if they intersect in *b* points.

Theorem

The block graph of a quasi-symmetric 2-design is strongly regular.

The easiest way to verify properties of the two graphs above is to use a theorem of Goethals and Seidel, generalising a result of Bhagwandas and Shrikhande.

A 2-design has points and blocks, each block a *k*-subset of the point set, and any two points lying in a constant number λ of blocks.

It is **quasi-symmetric** if any two blocks intersect in either *a* or *b* points, for some numbers *a* and *b*. The **block graph** of such a design has blocks as vertices, two blocks joined if they intersect in *b* points.

Theorem

The block graph of a quasi-symmetric 2-design is strongly regular. For, if *M* is the incidence matrix of the design, then MM^{\top} is a linear combination of *I* and *J*, while $M^{\top}M$ is a linear combination of *I*, *J* and the adjacency matrix of the graph; and these two matrices have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities apart from the eigenvalue 0.

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ...

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ... Take the S(3, 6, 22) Steiner system, and construct a graph as follows:

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ... Take the S(3, 6, 22) Steiner system, and construct a graph as follows:

The vertices are all the 2-element subsets of the point set of the Steiner system.

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ... Take the S(3, 6, 22) Steiner system, and construct a graph as follows:

- The vertices are all the 2-element subsets of the point set of the Steiner system.
- ▶ Join vertices {*a*, *b*} and {*c*, *d*} if the two sets are disjoint but contained in a block.

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ... Take the S(3, 6, 22) Steiner system, and construct a graph as follows:

- The vertices are all the 2-element subsets of the point set of the Steiner system.
- ▶ Join vertices {*a*, *b*} and {*c*, *d*} if the two sets are disjoint but contained in a block.

It is strongly regular with parameters (231, 30, 9, 3).

The Carmichael–Witt design can be used to construct one more strongly regular graph. It is not triangle-free, but as far as I know it is the only strongly regular graph named after me ... Take the S(3, 6, 22) Steiner system, and construct a graph as follows:

- The vertices are all the 2-element subsets of the point set of the Steiner system.
- ▶ Join vertices {*a*, *b*} and {*c*, *d*} if the two sets are disjoint but contained in a block.

It is strongly regular with parameters (231, 30, 9, 3). And with that I will conclude; thank you for your attention.