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More about this later ...
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## History

There are still elementary questions about finite groups which have not yet been explored.
This research began on 16 October 2023, when Hamid Reza Dorbidi (Jiroft) sent me a preliminary manuscript. We worked on it , and put a paper on the arXiv the following month (2311.16562).

David Craven (Birmingham) and Benjamin Sambale (Heidelberg) saw it on the arXiv and contributed a number of improvements, so we invited them to join the team.
We are about to submit a revised version to the arXiv.
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## The problem

There has been a great deal of interest among finite group theorists in describing the subgroups of a given group, in particular the maximal subgroups. Colva's book (with John Bray and Derek Holt) is an important example. Dorbidi's question was a kind of "inverse problem". Given a finite set $\mathcal{F}$ of finite groups, call a group $G$ a cover of $\mathcal{F}$ if every group in $\mathcal{F}$ can be embedded in $G$. What can we say about covers?
In particular, let us call an $\mathcal{F}$-cover $G$ cover minimal if no proper subgroup of $G$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-cover, and minimum if no group of smaller order is an $\mathcal{F}$-cover. We are particularly interested in minimal and minimum $\mathcal{F}$-covers.
For any set $\mathcal{F}$, there is a minimal $\mathcal{F}$-cover: take the direct product of the groups in $\mathcal{F}$ (this is a cover), and take a subgroup minimal with respect to embedding all the groups in $\mathcal{F}$.
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- If all the groups in $\mathcal{F}$ have some property $\mathcal{P}$, is there at least one minimum $\mathcal{F}$-cover which has property $\mathcal{P}$ ?
- Clearly $\mathcal{F}$ has only finitely many minimum covers. Can it have infinitely many minimal covers?
- Given a positive integer $n$, what is the size of a minimum $\mathcal{F}(n)$-cover, where $\mathcal{F}(n)$ consists of all the groups of order $n$ ? (I will say simply an $n$-cover.)
I will say something about each of these questions.
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Cayley's Theorem tells us that
Theorem
The symmetric group $S_{n}$ is an n-cover.
Also Sylow's theorem tells us that
Theorem
Let $N=p_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots p_{r}^{q_{r}}$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ are distinct primes. Then, for each $i$, the Sylow $p_{i}$-subgroup of $S_{n}$ is a $p_{i}^{a_{i}}$-cover.

In particular, if $n=p^{m}$ with $p$ prime, then the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $S_{n}$ is a $p^{m}$-cover, of order $p^{\left(p^{m}-1\right) /(p-1)}$.
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## Theorem

The order of a minimum $p^{m}$-cover (for $p$ prime) is at least $p^{(2 / 27+o(1)) m^{2}}$.
The proof uses the result of Higman and Sims for the number of groups of order $p^{m}$; a $p$-group embedding them all must be fairly large.
Question
Is the order of a minimum $p^{m}$-cover of the form $p^{f(m)}$ where $f$ is polynomial?
We know that the values for $p^{m}=2^{3}, 2^{4}, p^{3}$ ( $p$ an odd prime) are respectively $2^{5}, 2^{8}$, and $p^{6}$ respectively.
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For $\{q, r\}=\{2,3\}$, we show that the only minimal covers are $C_{6}, D_{6}$ and $A_{4}$. This is the theorem with which I began. Sketch proof shortly.
If $q$ and $r$ are odd and at least one is greater than 5 , then there are infinitely many minimal covers.
For, by Dirichlet's Theorem, there are infinitely many primes $p$ congruent to $1(\bmod q)$ and to $-1(\bmod r)$. Then $G=\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ is a $\left\{C_{q}, C_{r}\right\}$-cover. If $r>5$, then the only maximal subgroup of $G$ containing $C_{r}$ is $D_{p+1}$, which does not contain $C_{q}$. The argument is similar in the other case.
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Let $q$ and $r$ be distinct primes. Does $\left\{C_{q}, C_{r}\right\}$ have finitely or infinitely many minimal covers?
For $\{q, r\}=\{2,3\}$, we show that the only minimal covers are $C_{6}, D_{6}$ and $A_{4}$. This is the theorem with which I began. Sketch proof shortly.
If $q$ and $r$ are odd and at least one is greater than 5 , then there are infinitely many minimal covers.
For, by Dirichlet's Theorem, there are infinitely many primes $p$ congruent to $1(\bmod q)$ and to $-1(\bmod r)$. Then $G=\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$ is a $\left\{C_{q}, C_{r}\right\}$-cover. If $r>5$, then the only maximal subgroup of $G$ containing $C_{r}$ is $D_{p+1}$, which does not contain $C_{q}$. The argument is similar in the other case.
Remaining pairs of primes have not yet been settled, but we hope to have a result shortly.
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Let $G$ be a minimal $\left\{C_{q}, C_{r}\right\}$-cover.

- If $G$ is soluble, then it is one of three possibilities: $C_{q r}$, an elementary abelian $q$-group with $C_{r}$ acting irreducibly on it, or an elementary abelian $r$-group with $C_{q}$ acting irreducibly on it.
- Otherwise, $G$ has a unique maximal normal subgroup $N$, and $G / N$ is a minimal $\left\{C_{q}, C_{r}\right\}$-cover.
- Now take $\{q, r\}=\{2,3\}$. In 1977 (pre-CFSG), Podufalov showed that a simple group with no element of order 6 must be $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q), \operatorname{PSL}(3, q)$, $\operatorname{PSU}(3, q)$ or $\operatorname{Sz}(q)$ for some prime power $q$.
- Suzuki groups can't occur since their orders are not divisible by 3 . The others all involve $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$, where $q$ is a power of $p$.
- $\operatorname{PSL}(2,3) \cong A_{4}$, while for other $p$ they have $D_{6}$ as a subgroup.
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It is not too hard to show that any minimal $2^{2}$-cover has order $2^{3}$ (and indeed, there are just two, namely $C_{4} \times C_{2}$ and $D_{8}$ ), so that they are minimum covers.
However, we have:
Theorem
There are infinitely many minimal $2^{3}$-covers. Indeed, for any $m \geq 4$, the group $S D_{2^{m}} \times C_{2}$ is a minimal $2^{3}$-cover.
Here $S D_{2^{m}}$ is the semi-dihedral group

$$
\left\langle a, b: a^{a^{m-1}}=b^{2}=1, b^{-1} a b=a^{2^{m-2}-1}\right\rangle .
$$
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## Theorem

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of finite nilpotent groups. Then there is a minimum $\mathcal{F}$-cover which is nilpotent.
To see this, just take the direct product of $\mathcal{F}_{p}$-covers, where $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ is the set of Sylow $p$-subgroups of the groups in $\mathcal{F}$ for all relevant primes.
Of course we cannot say that all minimum $\mathcal{F}$-covers are nilpotent. For example, if $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\left(C_{2}\right)^{2}, C_{3}, C_{5}\right\}$, then a minimum $\mathcal{F}$-cover has order 60, and any group of order 60 having these as its Sylow subgroups is an $\mathcal{F}$-cover, including the simple group $A_{5}$.
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For abelian groups, the position is very different. We can work out the order of the smallest abelian cover of any set of finite abelian groups; but we cannot prove that at least one minimum cover must be abelian!
Arguing as in the last theorem, it suffices to find the smallest cover of a set $\mathcal{F}$ of abelian $p$-groups, where $p$ is prime.
Any such group has a canonical form

$$
G=C_{p^{a_{1}}} \times \cdots \times C_{p^{a_{r}}}
$$

where $a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{r}$. Now given a set of finite abelian $p$-groups, we can write them all in canonical form and assume that the value of $r$ is the same for each (by adding trivial factors if necessary). Then the smallest abelian cover has canonical form whose $i$ th factor is the largest group occurring as the $i$ th factor of one of the groups in $\mathcal{F}$.
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The smallest order of an abelian group containing every abelian group of order $p^{n}$ is $p^{f(n)}$.

As a corollary, we can show the following. Define a function $f$ on the natural numbers by
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## Theorem

The smallest order of an abelian group containing every abelian group of order $p^{n}$ is $p^{f(n)}$.
This is proved by applying the preceding theorem, first computing that the largest size of the $k$ th component in the canonical form of an abelian group of order $p^{n}$ is $p^{\lfloor n / k\rfloor}$.
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For soluble groups, take $\mathcal{F}=\left\{D_{10}, A_{4}\right\}$. The least common multiple of their orders is 60 , and it is an easy exercise to show that the only group of order 60 containing both is $A_{5}$.

## Simple groups
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Theorem
Let $M$ and $N$ be simple groups. Then a minimum cover of $\{M, N\}$ is either simple or $M \times N$.
Both cases occur:
Example Let $M=A_{5}$ and $N=\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$. The orders of these groups are 60 and 504. Their least common multiple is 2520 and their product is 30240 . The only simple groups with order divisible by 2520 and not greater than 30240 are $A_{7}, A_{8}$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(3,4)$; none of these embed $\operatorname{PSL}(2,8)$. By the theorem, the unique minimum $\{M, N\}$-cover is $M \times N$.
Example Let $M=A_{6}$ and $N=\operatorname{PSL}(2,7)$. Their orders are 360 and 168, with least common multiple 2520 . There is a unique simple group of order 2520 , namely $A_{7}$, which embeds both $M$ and $N$; so $A_{7}$ is the unique minimum $\{M, N\}$-cover.
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Can a pair of simple groups have more than one minimum cover?
There are two possibilities: Either two simple groups of the same order are minimum covers, or $\{M, N\}$ has minimum covers $L$ and $M \times N$.
In the former case, we know that a pair of simple groups of the same order must be either $\left\{A_{8}, \operatorname{PSL}(3,4)\right\}$, or $\{\operatorname{PSp}(2 m, q), \mathrm{P} \Omega(2 m+1, q)\}$ with $m \geq 3$ and $q$ odd.

Can a pair of simple groups have more than one minimum cover?
There are two possibilities: Either two simple groups of the same order are minimum covers, or $\{M, N\}$ has minimum covers $L$ and $M \times N$.
In the former case, we know that a pair of simple groups of the same order must be either $\left\{A_{8}, \operatorname{PSL}(3,4)\right\}$, or $\{\operatorname{PSp}(2 m, q), \operatorname{P} \Omega(2 m+1, q)\}$ with $m \geq 3$ and $q$ odd.
In the latter case, there are infinitely many triples of simple groups $\{L, M, N\}$ with $|L|=|M| \cdot|N|$. (The smallest is $\left.\left\{A_{6}, \operatorname{PSL}(2,8), A_{9}\right\}.\right)$ Can we determine all such triples? And can both $L$ and $M \times N$ be minimum covers of the same pair of simple groups?
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## Radicals and residuals

The answer to our earlier question is "Yes" for classes of groups not having normal subgroups of certain types, or quotients of certain types.
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Proof.
Suppose that $G / N \in \mathcal{X}$. Then for any group $H \in \mathcal{F}$, $H / H \cap N \cong H N / N \leq G / N \in \mathcal{X}$. So $H / H \cap N \in \mathcal{X}$ which implies $H \subseteq N$. By minimality of $G$, we have $N=G$.
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Applications:

- If no group in $\mathcal{F}$ has a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup, then a co-minimal cover has no non-trivial abelian normal subgroup.
- Similarly with "soluble" replacing "abelian".
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We can dualise the entire set-up. Given a finite set $\mathcal{F}$ of finite groups, say that $G$ is a dual cover for $\mathcal{F}$ if every group in $\mathcal{F}$ is a homomorphic image of $G$.
Dualising the earlier concepts, we say that a dual cover $G$ is minimal if no proper homomorphic image of $G$ is a dual cover, and minimum if no dual cover is smaller than $G$. Because of duality for abelian groups, the results for these groups are the same as those for covers described earlier. But for non-abelian groups, essentially nothing is known.
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Question
What is the order of magnitude of the function $F$ such that a minimum $p^{m}$-cover has order $p^{F(m)}$ ? Is it polynomial, or exponential?

Question
Develop the theory of dual covers.
Question
What happens for semigroups?

... for your attention.

